AMGEN T 0 202 408 4400 TO 180

400 TO 180 0126 P. 86

WAR 2 0 2001 EVENT & TENT Customer Number 22,852

Attorney Docket No. 6483.0009-08

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of:              | )                      |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Keith E. Langley et al.            | ) Group Art Unit: 1645 |
| Serial No.: 08/803,954             | ) Examiner: R. Hayes   |
| Filed: April 20, 2000              | )                      |
| For: METALLOPROTEINASE INHIBITOR   | )<br>)<br>)            |
| Assistant Commissioner for Patents |                        |

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

## DECLARATION OF THOMAS C. BOONE

- I, Thomas C. Boone, do hereby make the following declaration:
- 1. I am a co-inventor of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/803,954 ("the '954 application"), which claims priority based on U.S. Application No. 07/355,027 ("the '027 application") filed May 19, 1989. I am employed by Amgen Inc., which is the assignee of the '954 application. My position is Director of Process Science.
- 2. In 1988 and 1989, I worked for Amgen Inc. and my position was Research Scientist II.
- 3. Example 5 of the '954 application describes a method for purifying recombinant human TIMP-2 from *Escherichia coli* using three column chromatography steps: anion exchange chromatography on DEAE Sepharose® Fast Flow, cation exchange chromatography on CM Sepharose® Fast Flow, and gel filtration on Sephacryl® S-200 HR. On page 45, lines 1-4, it is stated: "A sample of the human MI

Ø 006

FEB 27 '01 13:35 FR FIN THENDERSON

[TIMP-2] preparation described (about 6.5 µg) was subjected to amino-terminal amino acid sequencing through 18 cycles, using the method described in Example 2."

- 4. To the best of my knowledge, I have never submitted a sample of human TIMP-2 purified by the method described in Example 5 for amino acid sequencing. That method was, however, the best mode known to me for purifying TIMP-2 from *E. coli* at the time the '027 application was filed.
- 5. I am aware that one of my co-inventors, Dr. Keith Langley, submitted a sample of human TIMP-2 prepared by a substantially similar procedure for amino acid sequencing. It is my understanding that the sample submitted by Dr. Langley was purified using two chromatography columns: anion exchange chromatography on Q Sepharose® and cation exchange chromatography on Mono S®. The results of the amino acid sequence analysis of that sample were reported to me by Patricia Fausset and Hsieng Lu in a memorandum dated March 13, 1989, which is appended hereto as Exhibit A. To my knowledge, this is the only sample of recombinant human TIMP-2 purified from *E. coli* at Amgen Inc. that was sequenced prior to the filing date of the '027 application. I would not have submitted a second sample of recombinant TIMP-2 from *E. coli* for amino-terminal sequence analysis simply because the purification procedure was modified as described in Example 5.
- 6. Based on the above, I believe that the '954 application mistakenly suggests on page 45, lines 1-4, that the amino-terminal sequence of recombinant human TIMP-2 was determined using a sample purified as described in Example 5 of that application. In fact, I believe that the TIMP-2 protein sample that was sequenced was the sample submitted by Dr. Langley, which was purified using a two step

**2**007

chromatography process, not the three step process described in Example 5.

- The N-terminal amino acid sequencing data discussed in Example 5 are 7. not needed to provide support for a claim directed to human TIMP-2 having the sequence in Figure 2 of the application. If one expresses a protein in E. coli according to Example 4 and purifies that protein according to Example 5, one will obtain protein having the sequence in Figure 2, regardless of whether the N-terminal amino acid sequence is confirmed. The amino acid sequence data simply confirm the protein sequence predicted from the human TIMP-2 DNA clone in Figure 2 of the application, which was used to express the protein. The choice between two methods by which the recombinant protein was purified from E. coli has no bearing on whether the correct amino acid sequence was expressed from that clone.
- Moreover, whether the '954 application indicates that amino acid 8. sequencing was performed on a sample of human TIMP-2 prepared in E. coli using a two chromatography column protocol or whether that application incorrectly indicates that the sample sequenced was prepared by the similar three chromatography column protocol in Example 5 is not an important distinction to one skilled in the art.
- The mistake regarding the identity of the protein sample sequenced was 9. made without intent on my part to deceive the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under

EGAN HENDERSON

AMGEN T 0

202 409 4400 TO 18 4990126

P.09

Ø 001

Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Dated: 2/17/1081

FEB 27 '01 13:36 FR F

By: Thomas C. Boone